

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 DEPARTMENT NO. 55

HON. RONALD SWEARINGER, JUDGE

4
5 LARRY WOLLERSHEIM,)

6 Plaintiff,)

7 vs.)

8 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF)
9 CALIFORNIA, a corporation, et al.,)

10 Defendants.)
_____)

51

NO. C 332 027

11
12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

13 Tuesday, May 6, 1986

14 A.M. SESSION ONLY

15 APPEARANCES:

16 For Plaintiff:

17 GREENE, O'REILLY, BROILLET,
18 PAUL, SIMON, McMILLAN,
19 WHEELER & ROSENBERG
BY: CHARLES O'REILLY and
LETA SCHLOSSER

20 For the Defendants:

PAUL F. MOORE

21 COOLEY, MANION, MOORE & JONES
BY: EARLE C. COOLEY

22 OVERLAND, BERKE, WESLEY, GITS,
23 RANDOLPH & LEVANAS
BY: ROBERT BERKE

24 COPY

25
26 VOLUME 51

27 Pages 7670 to 7668.

28 JOANNE EKERLING, CSR #2250
CAROLYN F. LAMPKIN, CSR
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

1 MR. COOLEY: I take it by now that the court has read
2 the -- all of the lower level PC folders.

3 THE COURT: I have been through them twice.

4 MR. COOLEY: The thing that I wanted to just comment on to
5 the court, because it seems to me to be a matter of such
6 momentous importance as to just what direction this case is
7 going to take, bearing in mind I will undoubtedly be recalling
8 Mr. Wollersheim in my case. These PC folders will create
9 tremendous confusion and side shows in this case, I think,
10 your Honor.

11 Number one, they are written in a way that it is
12 open to interpretation. Mr. Wollersheim interpreting one way
13 and case supervisor interpreting another. They are not naratives.
14 They are notes which were taken.

15 At the very -- as an example, at his very first
16 session back in July of 1971, at page 78, he talks about
17 taking --

18 MR. O'REILLY: Excuse me. Your Honor, how can we
19 even begin to address this? We haven't even seen one
20 document out of that whole file.

21 THE COURT: Let's finish. Let's hear what he has to say.

22 MR. O'REILLY: He is now talking about specifics from
23 the PC folder.

24 MR. COOLEY: I am pointing out to the court the reason
25 why I think they should not be turned over.

26 MR. O'REILLY: How can we respond? We have not even
27 seen a piece of paper.

28 MS. SCHLOSSER: Who knows if they are correct or not?