

FILED

JUN 05 1997

JOHN P. MONTGOMERY,  
Court Executive Officer  
MARIN COUNTY COURTS  
By: C. Baker, Deputy

1 Andrew H. Wilson  
2 WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO  
3 235 Montgomery Street  
4 Suite 450  
5 San Francisco, California 94104  
6 (415) 391-3900

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
8 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

11 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY )  
12 INTERNATIONAL, a California )  
13 not-for-profit religious )  
14 corporation; )

15 Plaintiff, )

16 vs. )

17 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 )  
18 through 25, inclusive, )

19 Defendants. )

Case No. 152229

ORDER OF CONTEMPT

20 The contempt proceedings against Defendant GERALD ARMSTRONG  
21 came on for hearing by the Court on May 23, 1997 pursuant to this  
22 Court's ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CONTEMPT issued on February 18,  
23 1997, and further pursuant to this Court's ORDER ALLOWING SERVICE  
24 OF THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CONTEMPT issued on March 5, 1997.  
25 Petitioner CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL appeared by its  
26 counsel, Andrew H. Wilson. Defendant ARMSTRONG did not appear  
27 nor did Defendant file any opposition or evidence.

28 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, the Court makes the following  
findings:

1. On October 17, 1995 this Court entered an Order of  
Permanent Injunction against ARMSTRONG (the "Order") following  
motions for summary adjudication brought by Plaintiff. This

EXHIBIT A

1 Order was later incorporated into a judgment entered against Mr.  
2 Armstrong on May 2, 1996 (the "Judgment"). The Order prohibits  
3 ARMSTRONG from voluntarily assisting any persons litigating  
4 claims adverse to the "Beneficiaries" and from creating or  
5 publishing "works" discussing any of the Beneficiaries. One of  
6 the Beneficiaries is a corporation known as Religious Technology  
7 Center ("RTC").

8 2. The Order was valid when rendered and remains fully  
9 enforceable, notwithstanding ARMSTRONG's appeal of the Judgment.  
10 The filing of a Notice of Appeal does not render a valid order  
11 unenforceable.

12 3. ARMSTRONG had knowledge of the Order. ARMSTRONG's  
13 counsel appeared at the hearing pertaining to the Order and  
14 received Notice of Entry. ARMSTRONG also received a Notice of  
15 Entry of Order which was served on his counsel. ARMSTRONG's  
16 actual knowledge of the Order is also shown by the fact that  
17 ARMSTRONG himself signed and filed a Notice of Appeal of the  
18 Judgment.

19 4. ARMSTRONG had the ability to comply with the Order. The  
20 Order was specific. It prohibited ARMSTRONG from voluntarily  
21 assisting any person arbitrating or litigating adversely to the  
22 Beneficiaries and also prohibited ARMSTRONG from facilitating in  
23 any manner the creation, publication, broadcast, writing,  
24 electronic recording or reproduction of various documentary  
25 works. There has been no suggestion, and certainly no showing by  
26 ARMSTRONG, that he is incapable of complying with the Order.

27 ///

28 ORDER OF CONTEMPT

